McGill Traffic Incident Detection from Road Camera Networks



telecommunications & signal processing laboratory

Tarem Ahmed. Boris Oreshkin and Mark Coates **McGill Universitv** tarem.ahmed@mail.mcgill.ca, boris.oreshkin@mail.mcgill.ca, coates@ece.mcgill.ca

Alarm (\mathbf{x}_{11}) . $D_t = D_t \cup \mathbf{x}_{11}$

1. Introduction

- Road networks are often affected by disruptive traffic incidents.
- Examples include traffic congestions or accidents.

 Quick detection desired to initiate timely emergency response.

Our Contribution:

- · We present two algorithms that flag anomalies in image sequences from a camera network: - Kernel-based Online Anomaly Detection (KOAD):
- One-Class Neighbour Machine (OCNM).
- A traffic incident should lead to an anomalous image.
- We test on a sequence of images captured by Transport Quebec's camera network over the Montreal area.

2. Kernel-based Online Anomaly Detection (KOAD): Introduction

- Recursive algorithm for online anomaly detection [1], [2],
- Incrementally learns a dictionary of input vectors that spans the region of normality in a chosen feature space.
- · An anomaly is flagged immediately upon encountering a deviation from the norm.
- The dictionary maintained is dynamic and incorporates changes in the normal behaviour of the given network.

Initialization:

- Sequence of multivariate measurements: {x_t}_{t=1:T}
- · Choose feature space with associated kernel:

$k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) = \langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i), \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) \rangle$ where $\varphi: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in H^\infty$

. Then feature vectors corresponding to normal traffic measurements should cluster.

The Dictionary:

Should be possible to describe region of normality in

feature space using sparse dictionary, $D = \{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i\}$ • Feature vector $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_{t})$ is said to be approximately linearly independent on $\left\{\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i})\right\}^{m}$ $\delta_t = \min_a \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m_{t-1}} a_j \phi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) - \phi(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\|^2 > v$

(1)

3. KOAD: The Algorithm • At timestep t, evaluate δ_{1} , compare with V_{1} , V_{2} where $V_1 < V_2$. • If $\delta_t > V_2$, infer **x**_t far from normality: **Red1 Alarm.** • If $\delta_t < V_1$, infer **x**_t close to normality: Green. • If $\delta_t > V_1$, raise Orange Alarm and track the contribution of x, in explaining the *l* subsequent arrivals. • At timestep t+l resolve any Orange Alarm from timestep t. Done by performing a secondary Usefulness Test [2], and determining how many of the / subsequent arrivals lie close to x. We distinguish between cases where: - x, is an isolated event and a potential anomaly; or - x, represents a migration of region of normality. solated event $\delta_1 > v_2$ Red1 Alarm $D_r = D_r$ Red2 Alarm Compare track with Vie No Alarm Fig. 2: Flow chart of operations performed at any timestep t by KOAD algorithm. For details, see [1]. 4. One-Class Neighbor Machine (OCNM)



- Fig. 3: 2-D Isomap of CHIN-LOSA backbone flow, srcIP
- · Region of normality should correspond to a Minimum Volume Set (MVS).
- OCNM for estimating MVS proposed in [4]. Requires choice of sparsity measure, q.
- Examples: k-th nearest-neighbour distance. average of first k nearest-neighbour distances.
- Sorts list of q, identifies points that lie inside MVS using pre-specified fraction u.



- coefficients. Middle panels show OCNM k-th nearest neighbour distance metric. Bottom panels show KOAD δ_{\prime}
- Detection algorithms flag anomalies at each location. • Use c = 3 out of n = 6 voting scheme at central unit to differentiate true anomalies such as traffic jams from individual false alarms such as camera malfunctions.

7. Results: ROC Curve



Agile All-Photonic Networks

showing tradeoff between Probability of Detection $(P_{\rm p})$ and Probability of False Alarm (P_{F4}).

- KOAD outperforms OCNM.
- Attributed to the adaptive nature that the dynamic dictionary provides KOAD with.

8. Conclusions and Future Work

- Preliminary results indicate the potential of Machine Learning approaches in quick traffic incident detection.
- · Computations must be distributed to minimize

· Complexity must be independent of time to enable

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Sergio Restrepo for processing the Transports Quebec dataset. This research was supported by the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of (NSERC) and industrial and government partners, through the Agile All-Photonic Networks (AAPN) and Mathematics of Information Technology and Complex

- [1] T. Ahmed, M. Coates and A. Lakhina, "Multivariate online anomaly detection using kernel recursive least squares," in Proc. IEEE Infocom, Anchorage, AK, May 2007, to appear.
- [2] T. Ahmed, B. Oreshkin and M. Coates, "Machine learning approaches to network anomaly detection," in Proc. USENIX Workshop on Tackling Computer Systems Problems with Machine Learning Techniques (SysML), Cambridge, MA, Apr. 2007.
- Y. Engel, S. Mannor, and R. Meir, "The kernel recursive least squares algorithm," IEEE Trans. Signal Proc., vol. 52, No. 8, pp. 2275-2285, Aug. 2004.
- [4] A. Muñoz and J. Moguerza, "Estimation of high-density regions using one-class neighbor machines," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol 28, num 3, pp 476--480, Mar, 2006,

Fig. 1: An example road network anomaly: a jam.