Machine Learning Algorithms for Anomaly Detection in Optical Networks

McGill

Tarem Ahmed and Mark Coates McGill University



5. Data



1. Introduction

- We define an anomaly as a rare and short-lived event.
- Anomaly detection involves extracting relevant information from high-dimensional and high-rate network data.
- · Nature and normal behaviour of networks change over time. New types of anomalies are regularly discovered. Hence, adaptive and learning algorithms are desired.

Our Contribution:

- We demonstrate the applicability of Machine Learning approaches to anomaly detection in optical networks.
- · We present two algorithms: - Kernel-based Online Anomaly Detection (KOAD); - One-Class Neighbour Machine (OCNM).
- · We test the algorithms on a timeseries of entropies of the IP packet header fields, from the Abilene network.

2. Kernel-based Online Anomaly Detection (KOAD): Introduction

- Recursive algorithm for online anomaly detection [1], [2]. Incrementally learns a dictionary of input vectors that
- spans the region of normality in a chosen feature space. • An anomaly is flagged immediately upon encountering a deviation from the norm.
- The dictionary maintained is dynamic and incorporates. changes in the normal behaviour of the given network.

Initialization:

- Sequence of multivariate measurements: {x}
- · Choose feature space with associated kernel:

 $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i), \varphi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle$ where $\varphi: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in H^\infty$

 Then feature vectors corresponding to normal traffic measurements should cluster.

The Dictionary:

· Should be possible to describe region of normality in

feature space using sparse dictionary, $D = \{ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \}_{i=1}^{m}$

• Feature vector $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_{i})$ is said to be approximately linearly independent on $\{\varphi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i})\}_{i=1}^{M}$ if [3]:

 $\delta_t = \min_{a} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m_{t-1}} a_j \phi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) - \phi(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\|^2 > \nu$

(1)

3. KOAD: The Algorithm

- At timestep t, evaluate δ , compare with V_1 , V_2 where $V_1 < V_2$.
- If $\delta_t > V_2$, infer **x**_t far from normality: **Red1 Alarm.**
- If $\delta_{i} < V_{1}$, infer **x**, close to normality: Green.
- If $\delta_{i} > V_{1}$, raise Orange Alarm and track the contribution of x, in explaining the *l* subsequent arrivals.

• At timestep t+ resolve any Orange Alarm from timestep t. Done by performing a secondary Usefulness Test [2], and determining how many of the *l* subsequent arrivals lie close to \mathbf{x}_{t} . We distinguish between cases where:

- x, is an isolated event and a potential anomaly; or
- x, represents a migration of region of normality.



Fig. 1: Flow chart of operations performed at any timestep t by KOAD algorithm. For details, see [1].

4. One-Class Neighbor Machine (OCNM)



- Fig. 2: 2-D Isomap of CHIN-LOSA backbone flow. Entropy(srcIP).
 - · Region of normality should correspond to a Minimum Volume Set (MVS).
 - OCNM for estimating MVS proposed in [4]. • Requires choice of sparsity measure, g.
 - Examples: k-th nearest-neighbour distance, average of first k nearest-neighbour distances.
 - Sorts list of a identifies points that lie inside MVS using pre-specified fraction µ.







 Variations in entropies (distributions) reveal many anomalies [5].

sudden and short-lived change.

6. Results



Fig. 5: Top three panels show the variation in the KOAD $\delta_{...}$ the PCA magnitude of residual with 10 principal components assigned to the normal subspace, and the OCNM k-th nearest neighbour Euclidean distance, versus time. Bottom panel compares the anomalies flagged by each algorithm,.



OCNM k-th nearest-neighbour Euclidean distance, using block-sizes of 1000, 500 and 400 timesteps. Bottom panel compares the anomalies flagged in each case.

7. Discussion

- We validate recursive KOAD and block-based OCNM against the block-based Principal Component Analysis (PCA) anomaly detection method from [6].
- KOAD is run using a Gaussian kernel, PCA with 10 principal components assigned to the normal subspace, and **OCNM** using k = 50 and $\mu = 0.95$.
- The spikes in Fig. 5(a-c) indicate that all three algorithms signal an overlapping set of anomalies.
- Fig. 5(c) indicates that the OCNM k-th nearestneighbour distance metric exhibits upward trend. Suggests that 2000-timestep block size is too large.
- Fig. 6 compares OCNM results for various block sizes.

8. Conclusions and Future Work

- Preliminary results indicate the potential of Machine Learning approaches in guick anomaly detection.
- Computations must be distributed to minimize communication costs.
- Complexity must be independent of time for online application. KOAD complexity is, OCNM is not.

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Anukool Lakhina for providing the Abilene dataset. This research was supported by the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of (NSERC) and industrial and government partners, through the Agile All-Photonic Networks (AAPN) research network.

10. References

- [1] T. Ahmed, M. Coates and A. Lakhina, "Multivariate online anomaly detection using kernel recursive least squares," in Proc. IEEE INFOCOM, Anchorage, AK, May 2007, to appear.
- [2] T. Ahmed, B. Oreshkin and M. Coates, "Machine learning approaches to network anomaly detection," in Proc. USENIX Workshop on Tackling Computer Systems Problems with Machine Learning Techniques (SvsML), Cambridge, MA, Apr. 2007.
- [3] Y Engel S Mannor and R Meir "The kernel recursive least squares algorithm," IEEE Trans. Signal Proc., vol. 52, No. 8, pp. 2275-2285, Aug. 2004.
- [4] A. Muñoz and J. Moguerza, "Estimation of high-density regions using one-class neighbor machines," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol 28, num 3, pp 476--480, Mar. 2006.
- A. Lakhina, M. Crovella and C. Diot, "Mining anomalies using traffic feature distributions," in Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, Philadelphia, PA, Aug. 2005.

Fig. 3: Abilene weathermap. Source: Indiana University. t=2014 frequ t=2016 ď t=2018 6

Hash of srcIP Fig. 4: Example anomaly. Distribution of srcIP exhibits